DOSE AND RISK EVALUATION IN DIGITAL MAMMOGRAPHY USING COMPUTER MODELING
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Abstract. Digital mammography has been introduced in several countries in the last years. The new technology requires new optimising methods considering for instance the increased possibility of changing the absorbed dose, mainly in modern mammographic systems that allow the operator to choose the beam quality by varying the tube voltage, and filter and target materials. In this work, the Monte Carlo code MCNPX is used in order to investigate how the average glandular dose (AGD) varies with tube voltage (23-32 kV) and anode–filter combination (Mo-Mo, Mo-Rh and Rh-Rh) in digital mammographic examinations. Furthermore, the risk of breast cancer incidence attributable to mammography exams was estimated using the Biological Effects of Ionizing Radiations (BEIR) VII Committee Report. The results show that the risk of breast cancer incidence in women younger than 30 years of age tends to decrease significantly using Rh-Rh anode–filter combination and higher tube voltage. For women older than 50 years of age the variation of tube voltage, and anode–filter combination did not influence the risk values considerably.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Breast cancer is the second most common type of cancer among Brazilian women, with about 49,240 new cases expected in Brazil in 2010 (INCA, 2009). According to the American Cancer Society, about 465,000 women die from the disease annually worldwide (Lopez, 2009). However, the survival of breast cancer patients has been improving in the last decades. Early diagnosis is one means to lower breast cancer mortality (American Cancer Society, 2003).

Mammography is the most accurate tool for early detection because in many cases it can detect abnormalities such as masses, calcifications, and other suspicious anomalies up to two years before they are palpable (Shinde, 2003). In addition, technological advances have resulted in large scale improvements in the performance of newer generation mammography systems, such as digital mammography systems. Such systems have been proven to be more sensitive in cancer detection, especially in younger women who tend to have breast tissue more dense (Lewin et al., 2001, Pisano et al., 2005, Yang et al, 2006). Furthermore, modern mammography systems have a variety of anode–filter combinations that allow the operator to modify the X-ray beam quality in order to improve the image quality.

Thanks to great performance of the mammography, a considerable number of women in different ages have undergone the exam. In Brazil, mammograms are recommended for women over 50 years of age, and younger women with a family history of breast cancer or evidence of a specific genetic change (BRCA1/BRCA2 mutation) (INCA, 2004). However, according to IBGE (2010), among Brazilian women who had undergone mammography, 7% had done it between the ages of 25 and 29 years, 18.5% had done it between the ages of 30 and 39 years, 28.3% had done it between the ages of 40 and 49 years and 46.1% had done it after the age of 50 years.

Although the benefits of mammography for the early detection and treatment of breast cancer are very significant, the carcinogenic risk associated with mammography and the absorbed radiation dose to the breast have given rise to concern, especially in younger women who have higher risk of cancer induction by radiation (BEIR, 2005). Furthermore, optimization is required and, with regard to patient exposure, this refers to the determination of the lowest average glandular dose (AGD) that yields a sufficient level of clinical image quality. However, scientific efforts to optimise the choice, in terms of finding the parameter settings which yield sufficient image quality at the lowest...
possible cost in dose, are still rare (Bouzarjomehri, 2004) mainly for modern mammography systems such as digital mammography systems.

The first essential step in optimising the patient dose is to make radiologists aware of their own performance in this regard and how it relates to the risk of radiation damage to the patient. This is not a simple task. In routine radiological procedures, it is not practical to conduct \textit{in vivo} measurements of organ doses. The possible practical methods for deriving the organ doses are measurements in a phantom, or Monte Carlo calculations that simulate the X-ray source and patient. The latter is the better established approach, is well validated and has the important advantage of flexibility (IAEA, 2007).

The purpose of this work is to use the Monte Carlo code MCNPX to investigate how the the average glandular dose and the breast entrance skin dose vary with tube voltage (23-32 kV) and anode–filter combination (Mo-Mo, Mo-Rh and Rh-Rh) for a modern digital mammography system. The risk of breast cancer incidence attributable to mammography exams is also estimated for women in different ages, using the Biological Effects of Ionizing Radiations (BEIR) VII Committee Report (BEIR, 2005).

2. SYSTEM MODELING

The computer code used in this study was MCNPX version 2.5 (Pelowitz, 2005). Although a number of Monte Carlo codes can be used for dosimetry in diagnostic radiology, MCNP was chosen because it is widely used and has already been validated in studies on radiation transport involving neutrons, photons, electrons, and more recently protons, in medical physics and radiological protection. It is also a very versatile code, allowing users to specify parameters such as source type and geometric configuration, to indicate general conditions of the system such as size, shape, energy distribution and composition of the medium where radiation interaction takes place, and also to configure the information-recording commands (tally).

In order to calculate the average glandular dose (AGD) the breast model proposed for Ullman et al. (2005) was considered. This model of the breast consists of a homogeneous mixture of glandular and adipose tissue in the central region surrounded by an adipose layer. In this work was adapted in the breast model a skin layer of 0.12 cm and the tissue compositions are taken from ICRU 44 (1989). The breast is assumed to be in the shape of a cylinder with semicircular cross-section. The density of glandular tissue was 1.02 g cm$^{-3}$, for skin tissue 1.09 g cm$^{-3}$, and for adipose tissue 0.95 g cm$^{-3}$. The breast dimensions are given in Table 1 and the breast model is shown in Figure 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Thickness (cm)</th>
<th>Radius (cm)</th>
<th>Adipose layer (cm)</th>
<th>Skin layer (cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Breast model

The energy spectrum of X-rays used as an input parameter in the simulation of the radiation beams was obtained with the software SRS 78 (Cranley \textit{et al.}, 1997) using added filtration of 30 μm molybdenum (Mo) or 25 μm rhodium (Rh), molybdenum or rhodium target and tube voltage ranging from 25 to 32 kV. Three target/filter combinations were considered: Mo/Mo, Mo/Rh and Rh/Rh. The energy spectrum was described in the MCNPX code using 0.5 energy bins. The photons were emitted by a 0.003-centimetre-diameter plane circular source collimated into a cone of directions by using the variance reduction technique called \textit{source biasing} (Pelowitz, 2005). The distance from the source to the posterior surface of the breast model was kept at 66 cm.

The photons emitted by the radiation source propagated through the air-filled medium before interacting with the breast model. The average glandular dose and breast entrance skin dose were calculated through MCNPX’s F6 command.
The dose values were normalised by the value of entrance air kerma at the breast model. To estimate the entrance air kerma a point detector (tally F5) was used. The value of fluence registered at point detector was converted to the air kerma through the conversion factors provided by ICRP 51 of the International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP, 1987).

In this study, every calculation was based on the generation of a number of histories large enough to guarantee a relative statistical error smaller than 0.05.

2.1. Consideration of the entrance air kerma in digital mammography exams

In order to obtain the average glandular dose and the breast entrance skin dose in digital mammography exams, entrance air kerma values in digital mammography procedures were measured. All measurements were obtained with a Senographe DS (GE) mammography unit using tube voltage ranging from 25 to 32 kV and anode–filter combinations of Mo-Mo, Mo-Rh and Rh-Rh. Exposure automatic control (EAC) was used in order to fix the radiation exposure in the image detector during the measurements. The fixed radiation exposure value was the same used to obtain digital mammograms of women with 5-cm-thick breast.

Each experimental entrance air kerma was measured with a mammographic ion chamber positioned on the geometric breast phantom (Pina, 2006) with thickness of 5 cm, as shown in Figure 2. The distance from the source to the posterior surface of the geometric breast phantom was kept at 66 cm.

The measured entrance air kerma were multiplied by normalized values of the average glandular dose and breast entrance skin dose calculated with MCNPX code.

2.2. Cancer risk estimation

The lifetime breast cancer incidence that is attributable to mammography was estimated by multiplying the average glandular dose values with a risk coefficient for breast provided by the BEIR VII Committee Report (BEIR, 2005).

3. RESULTS

Figures 3 and 4 present the values of the average glandular dose and breast entrance skin dose as a function of the voltage for different anode–filter combinations.
The results show that it is possible to minimize the breast entrance skin and average glandular dose values by raising the voltage applied to the X-ray tube. The reduction of the dose when the voltage is raised stems from the fact that the penetrating power of the beam increases with the voltage.

It was also observed that the dose values are also dependent on the anode–filter combination. When the Mo/Mo anode–filter combination is used, the doses are large. This can be explained by the number of low energy photons that are included in Mo/Mo spectrum. These photons are mainly absorbed near the surface of the phantom, increasing dose. In Figure 5 X-ray spectrums obtained with anode–filter combinations of Mo-Mo, Mo-Rh and Rh-Rh are shown.
Figures 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10 present the risk of breast cancer incidence for women in different ages exposed to a single dose. For women under 40 years, the results show that although the risk of breast cancer incidence is large, it can be significantly minimized when Rh/Rh anode–filter combination is used. When comparing the risk of breast cancer incidence for women of 20 years obtained with Mo/Mo and Rh/Rh anode–filter combinations, it is observed a minimization of about 10 cases per million women when Rh/Rh anode–filter combination is used. It can be seen from Figures 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10 that is also possible to minimize the risk of breast cancer incidence for younger women by raising the voltage applied to the X-ray tube.

Figure 6. The lifetime attributable risk of breast cancer incidence for women of 20 years exposed to a single dose
Figure 7. The lifetime attributable risk of breast cancer incidence for women of 30 years exposed to a single dose

Figure 8. The lifetime attributable risk of breast cancer incidence for women of 40 years exposed to a single dose
Figure 9. The lifetime attributable risk of breast cancer incidence for women of 50 years exposed to a single dose

Figure 10. The lifetime attributable risk of breast cancer incidence for women of 60 years exposed to a single dose

It is important to highlight the fact that the risk of breast cancer incidence presented in this work is for a single exposure. It increases with the number of exposures, and this is especially important when one considers that millions of mammograms are performed annually. Therefore, it is desirable to minimize the patient dose.

The risk information given here should provide radiologists and their patients with reasonable estimations of the risk of breast cancer incidence from radiation received during the mammography. By comparing the radiation risk
associated with a wide range of exposures in the mammography, radiologists can minimize the patient dose and increase the net benefit from the diagnostic procedure.

4. CONCLUSIONS

The results obtained in this work suggest that different precautions need to be taken depending on age of patient. For women under 40 years, the appropriate choice of anode–filter combination and of tube voltage can minimize significantly the risk of breast cancer incidence in mammography exams. The dose values and risk of breast cancer incidence tend to be lower when Rh/Rh anode–filter combination and higher voltages are used. In addition, the results show that younger women should avoid the mammography and that the recommendation of exam for women over 50 years of age should be considered. Screening mammography with female patients under the age of 50 years should only be recommended in particular circumstances, such as a family history of breast cancer or evidence of a specific genetic change.
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