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Abstract. This paper introduces a practically important concept of non-smoothness where a dynamical system can be considered as smooth in a finite size subspace of global hyperspace. Global solution is generated by matching local solutions obtained by standard methods. If the dynamical system is linear in all subspaces then only an implicit global analytical solution can be given as the times when non-smoothness occurs have to be determined first. This leads to the necessity of solving a set of nonlinear algebraic equations. To illustrate the non-smooth dynamical systems and the methodology of solving them, three mechanical engineering problems have been studied. Firstly the vibro-impact system in a form of moling device was modelled and analysed in order to understand how the progression rates can be maximised. Periodic trajectories can be reconstructed as they go through three linear subspaces (no contact, contact with progression and contact with progression). In the second application frictional chatter occurring during metal cutting has been examined via numerical simulation method. The analysis has shown that the bifurcation analysis can be very useful to make an appropriate choice of the system parameters to avoid chatter. The last problem comes from rotordynamics, where nonlinear interaction between the rotor and the snubber ring are studied. The results obtained from the developed mathematical model confronted with the experiment have shown a good degree of correlation.
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1. Introduction

Most of real systems are nonlinear and their nonlinearities can be manifested in many different forms. One of the most common in mechanics is the non-smoothness. One may think of the noise of a squeaking chalk on a blackboard, or more pleasantly of a violin concert. Mechanical engineering examples include noise generation in railway brakes, impact print hammers, percussion drilling machines or chattering of machine tools. These effects are due to the non-smooth characteristics such clearances, impacts, intermittent contacts, dry friction, or combinations of these effects.

Non-smooth dynamical systems have been extensively studied for nearly three decades showing a huge complexity of dynamical responses even for a simple impact oscillator or Chua's circuit. The theory of discontinuous and non-smooth dynamical systems has been rapidly developing and now we are in much better position to understand those complexities occurring in the non-smooth vector fields and caused by generally discontinuous bifurcations. There are numerous practical applications, where the theoretical findings on nonlinear dynamics of non-smooth systems have been applied to verify the theory and optimize the engineering performance. However from mathematical point of view, problems with non-smooth characteristics are not easy to handle as the resulting models are dynamical systems whose right-hand side, are discontinuous, and therefore they require a special mathematical treatment and robust numerical algorithms to produce reliable solutions. Practically, a combination of numerical, analytical and semi-analytical methods is used to solve and analyse such systems and this particular aspect will be explored in the lecture.

The main aim of the paper is to outline a general methodology for solving of non-smooth dynamical systems, and to apply it to practical problems. The methodology will be illustrated and examined through three case studies. Firstly periodic responses of a drifting vibro-impact system with will be investigated through a novel semi-analytical method, developed by Pavlovskaya and Wiercigroch (2003a), which allows to determine the favourable operating conditions. The model accounts for visco-elastic impacts and is capable to mimic dynamics of a bounded progressive motion (a drift). Then the frictional chatter in orthogonal metal cutting will be modelled and analysed using numerical and analytical methods (see Wiercigroch and Krivtsov, 2001). In this paper an extensive nonlinear dynamic analysis has been performed giving some new light on the frictional chatter occurrence, i.e. that the discontinuous character of the friction force is essential for the chatter generation. Finally, the dynamic responses of a Jeffcott rotor system with bearing clearances will be examined (see Karpenko et al., 2002a and Pavlovskaya et al., 2004).

2. Non-smooth dynamical systems

In many engineering applications, characteristics of the system can be either discontinuous or non-smooth. As well-known examples, one may point an oscillator with clearance analysed in (Peterka & Vacik, 1992), piecewise linear oscillators (Shaw & Holmes, 1983; Wiercigroch et al., 1998, Pavlovskaya et al., 2001), Jeffcott rotor with bearing clearances (Gonsalves et al, 1995, Karpenko et al., 2002a, Pavlovskaya et al., 2004), systems with Coulomb friction
(Feeny, 1992; Wiercigroch, 1994) and metal cutting processes (Grabec, 1988, Wiercigroch, 1997). General methodology of describing and solving non-smooth dynamical system can be found in (Wiercigroch & de Kraker, 2000). It includes modelling of non-smooth systems by discontinuous functions and modelling of discontinuities by smooth functions. In the latter case extra care is required as smoothing discontinuities can produce a ghost solution. The first approach considers first a dynamical system, which is continuous in global hyperspace $\Omega$, and in autonomous form can be described as

$$\dot{x} = f(x, p),$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $x = [x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]^T$ is the state space vector, $p = [p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_m]^T$ is a vector of the system parameters, and $f = [f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_n]^T$ is the vector function which is dependent upon the process being modelled. Then we assume that the dynamical system (1) is continuous but only in $N$ subspaces $X_i$ of the global hyperspace $\Omega$ (see Figure 1), therefore, the right hand side of equation (1) will be piecewise smooth. For each subspace $X_i$ when $x \in X_i$ the right hand side of equation (1) will be different function equal to $f_i(x, p)$ where $i \in [1, \ldots N]$.

![Figure 1. Trajectory of a non-smooth dynamical system](image)

### 3. Vibro-impact systems

Vibro-impact systems are inherently nonlinear and have been widely used in civil and mechanical engineering applications. One may give examples of ground moleing machines, percussive drilling, ultrasonic machining and mechanical processing (cold and hot forging). In the past all these machines and processes have been designed based on linear dynamic analysis.

Imagine, for example, a vibro-impact system driving a pile into the ground. During its operation the driving module moves downwards, and its motion can be viewed as a sum of a progressive motion and bounded oscillations. The simplest physical model exhibiting such behaviour is comprised of a mass loaded by a force having static and harmonic components, and a dry friction slider. This model was introduced and preliminary analysed in Krivtsov & Wiercigroch (1999, 2000). Despite its simple structure, a very complex dynamics was revealed. The main result from that work was a finding that the best progression occurs when the system responds periodically. A more realistic model including visco-elastic properties of the ground and its optimal periodic regimes were studied in Pavlovskaia & Wiercigroch et al. (2001, 2003a, 2004).

#### 3.1. Modelling of vibro-impact moleing

As a first approximation a vibro-impact moleing system may be represented as an oscillating mass with a frictional visco-elastic slider, as shown in Fig. 2a. The frictional visco-elastic slider models well the hysteretic soil resistance depicted in Fig. 2b. This model allows to mimic the separation between the mole head and the front face of the hole.

A mass $m$ is driven by an external force $f$ containing static $b$ and dynamic $a \cos(\omega t + \phi)$ components. The weightless slider has a linear visco-elastic pair of stiffness $k$ and damping $c$. As has been reported in Pavlovskaia et al. (2001) the slider drifts in stick-slip phases where the relative oscillations between the mass and the slider are bounded ranging from periodic to chaotic motion. Similarly to the stick-slip phenomenon, the progressive motion of the mass occurs when the force acting on the slider exceeds the threshold of the dry friction force $d$. $x$, $z$, $v$ represent the absolute displacements of the mass, slider top and slider bottom, respectively. It is assumed that the model operates in a
horizontal plane, or the gravitational force is compensated. At the initial moment $\tau = 0$ there is a distance between the mass and the slider top called gap, $g$.

\[ P = P_d \cos(\Omega t + \phi) + P_e \]
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Figure 2. (a) Physical model of drifting vibro-impact system, (b) model of soil

The considered system operates at the time in one of the following modes: No contact, Contact without progression, and Contact with progression. A detailed consideration of these modes and dimensional form of the equations of motion can be found in Pavlovskaia et al. (2001). The equations of motion covering all modes can be written using Heaviside step functions $H_i$ in the following form:

\[ x' = y, \]
\[ y' = a \cos(s + \phi) + b - (2\xi y + z - v)H_1H_2(1 - H_3) - H_1H_3, \]
\[ z' = yH_1 - (1 - H_1)(z - v)/2\xi, \]
\[ v' = H_1H_3H_4\left(y + (z - v - 1)/2\xi\right), \]
\[ s' = \omega, \]

where $H_1 = H(x - z - e), \quad H_2 = H(2\xi y + z), \quad H_3 = H(2\xi y + z - 1), \quad H_4 = H(y)$

3.2. Nonlinear dynamic analysis

The basic function of the investigated system is to penetrate through soil. Despite the fact that the considered model has only two degrees-of-freedom, its dynamics is very complex. Since displacements of the system elements are moving from the origin, the mass velocity has been used to view the structural changes in the system responses due to the fact that it is bounded. The control parameter in form of static force, $b$ proved to be very useful for determining the regions of the best progression.
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Figure 3. (a) Four phases of a periodic progressive motion, (b) comparison of the numerical simulation with the semi-analytical method (thick solid line)
The construction of the bifurcation diagrams has brought some practical insight regarding progression rates. Since the system drifts towards larger displacements, \( v \), one way to monitor progression rate is to calculate displacement in a finite time, which in our computations was equal to 50 periods of external loading. As has been reported in (Pavlovskaia et al., 2001), the maximum penetration rate coincides with the point where periodic regime becomes aperiodic. This information has been used to develop a semi-analytical algorithm for determining this point, and it can be found in Pavlovskaia & Wiercigroch (2003). The method constructs a periodic response assuming the global solution is comprised of a sequence of distinct phases for which local analytical solutions are known explicitly. A solution may consist of the following sequential phases (see Figure 3a): (I) contact with progression, (II) contact without progression, (III) no contact and (IV) contact without progression. Progressions per period were calculated from the numerical simulation of the system dynamics and then compared with the results from the devised semi-analytical method (thick solid line in Figure 3b). As can be seen from Figure 3b, a very good correlation between two methods was obtained.

4. Vibrations in metal cutting

Despite the continuing effort in the field, and generation of new theories, there is no consistent explanation for the existence of chatter. The fundamental reason behind it is the complexity of the chip-formation process, where the following strongly nonlinear phenomena are interrelated and dependent: temperature-dependent plasticity; temperature- and velocity-dependent friction; nonlinear stiffness of machine tools; regenerative effects; and intermittency of the cutting process. There are two different types of chatter: primary and secondary. Primary chatter is caused mainly by the variable shear stresses in the primary and secondary plastic deformation zones, and the frictional effects of the chip acting on the rake surface due to the relative motion between the workpiece and tool. Secondary chatter is predominantly result of the regenerative effects, where the workpiece geometry from the previous pass influences the dynamics of the next pass.

The most influential work on the dynamics of machine tools and cutting processes was conducted in the mid forties by Merchant (1945), and later by Russians. The studies carried out by Zorev (1956) and Kudinov (1963) are good examples of those investigations, where the dynamics characteristics of the cutting process play a key role in process stability. Contrary to this approach, there is a significant body of research assuming that the machine-tool structure is responsible for the dynamic instabilities (e.g. Tlusty, 1986). Recent investigations into nonlinear dynamics have shown an existence and importance of chaotic motion occurring in machining. The models by Grabec (1988), Wiercigroch (1997) and Wiercigroch & Krivtsov (2001) have shown evidence of chaotic vibrations, which are mainly due to the nonlinearity of the dry friction and then intermittent contact between the cutting tool and the workpiece.

4.1. Frictional chatter

The instantaneous separation of the cutting tool from the workpiece, namely an intermittent cutting process, has a great influence on the system dynamics. Therefore, out model of the machine tool – cutting process (MT-CP) system should take into account the feedback control loop through the cutting force and also the discontinuity of the process. To concentrate on the nonlinear dynamics issues, a simple but realistic model of the MT-CP system will be considered. The elastic, dissipative and inertial properties of the machine-tool structure, tool and the workpiece are represented by a planar oscillator, which is excited by the cutting-force components \( f_x \) and \( f_y \) (see Figure 4a). It is assumed that the relationship between the cutting forces and the chip geometry, namely the cutting-process characteristics, is captured by orthogonal cutting, where the cutting edge is parallel to the workpiece and normal to the cutting direction, as depicted in Figure 4b.

Since \( f_x \) and \( f_y \) are mutually related, one can be expressed by the other. This approach was adopted from Hastings et al. (1980), where the cutting forces for a wide class of technical materials are described by the following expressions,

\[
f_x(y, x', y') = q_x h \xi (|v_x| - 1)^2 + 1) \xi (h)
\]

\[
f_y(y, x', y') = \xi (|v_y|, v_y) f_y (y, x', y')
\]

where

\[
\xi = (c_1 (v_y - 1)^2 + 1) + (h - 1)^2 + 1) \xi (f_x) \sgn (v_y) v_y = v_0 - x', v_y = v_0 - Ry', h = h_0 - y
\]
The cutting process starts with an initial depth of cut, $h_0$, where a layer is taken from the workpiece with the constant velocity, $v_0$. Throughout the process it is assumed that the cutting parameters, such as $c_1, ..., c_4$ and $q_0$ are fixed. The nonlinear relationship between the cutting force, $f_x$, and chip velocity is graphically presented in Figure 4c where, for $v_r < 0$, the excitation force is equal to zero. In reality, this force never disappears completely as there is always a considerable friction force due to the compression force in the vertical spring. To make this approach more realistic, a dry friction force acting in $x$-direction for the $v_r < 0$ cases needs to be added. On the other hand, equation (6) should still be valid to predict the total force, $f_x$, for the $v_r \geq 0$ cases. A modified formula, which satisfies the conditions listed above, is written below and presented graphically in Figure 4d

$$f_x(y, x', y') = q_0 \left( H(v_r) \frac{1}{1 + \mu_0} + \text{sgn}(v_r) \frac{\mu_0}{1 + \mu_0} \right) \left( c_v (abs(v_r) - 1)^2 + 1 \right) H(h)$$

where $\mu_0$ is the static friction coefficient.

Dynamics of the analysed system can be described by a set of two second-order differential equations, which is presented in a non-dimensional form,

$$x'' + 2\xi_x x' + x = f_x(y, x', y'),$$
$$y'' + 2\xi_y \sqrt{\alpha_y^2 + \alpha \gamma} + \alpha y = f_y(y, x', y'),$$

where

$$\xi_x = \frac{c_x}{2m\omega_{ox}}, \quad \xi_y = \frac{c_y}{2m\omega_{oy}}, \quad \alpha = \frac{k_y}{c_y}, \quad \omega_{ox} = \frac{k_x}{m}, \quad \omega_{oy} = \frac{c_y}{m}.$$
As the analysed system is nonlinear and can exhibit a broad range of responses, it is essential to provide a high-accuracy integration routine. Each time a discontinuity occurs, the precise value of the time has to be calculated in order to provide the correct initial conditions for the next integration step. A standard zero-finder algorithm cannot effectively be applied in this case, therefore the computations were conducted using the method specifically developed for this problem, (see Wiercigroch, 1997). For a given set of parameters and initial conditions, the numerical integration is carried out using the fourth-order Runge-Kutta procedure with a fixed time-step, $\Delta t = 0.001$, until a discontinuity is detected. Then, based on the type of discontinuity recognized, the precise time value is calculated, either by an inverse interpolation or a bisection routine.

The investigated system is described by a 12-parameter vector, however, for the purpose of this analysis, a two-parameter vector, $p = [\xi, q_0]^T$, was chosen, where $\xi_x = \xi_y = \xi$. The results presented here were obtained by fixing the values of the following parameters, i.e. $\mu_0 = 0.1$, $c_1 = 0.3$, $c_2 = 0.7$, $c_3 = 1.5$, $c_4 = 1.2$, $h_0 = 0.5$, $R_0 = 2.2$ and $v_0 = 0.5$. Figure 5 show an influence of the cutting forces modules, $q_0$, on the system dynamics in the $x$- and $y$-direction respectively. For the stiffness ration, $\alpha = 0.25$, and $q_0$ up to 1.6, the system behaves in an irregular manner. By increasing the value of $q_0$ above 1.6, the periodicity is regained. Further careful investigations of the above-mentioned region of $q_0$ demonstrate an interesting scenario of a transition between different types of motion with an increase of the branching-parameter value. The systems responses are irregular for lower values of $q_0$, however, irregularities are more pronounced for the $y$-direction. If the value of the branching parameter is between 0.36 and 0.43, the system experiences period 3. After the first periodic region, a catastrophic transition to a chaotic motion is observed, which lasts until $q_0 \approx 1.0$. Then the system approaches gradually stable oscillations, with period 1 passing through narrow windows of irregular motion.

![Figure 5 Bifurcation diagrams](image)

Summarizing, for $\alpha = 0.25$, the system dynamics undergoes vast changes. Setting up the stiffness ratio, $\alpha$, to 1 and 16, the behaviour is completely different (see Figures 5b, d). For almost the entire range of the cutting-force modulus, the system oscillates either periodically or almost periodically, excluding the lower values of $q_0$, where some transient irregular motion occurs. The bifurcation diagrams constructed for $\alpha = 4$ show another example for an unusual behaviour, that is, unidirectional bifurcation. The system bifurcates in the $x$-direction and is stable in the $y$-direction, for $q_0$ between 0.24 and 0.54, despite the fact that the equations of motion are coupled. There is also a shift of the critical point for the $x$- and $y$-directions. For the $x$-direction, the system starts with two bifurcation periods of the doubling type, and then vibrates chaotically. For the $y$-direction, the system, after crossing the critical value, oscillates with period 4, and then becomes chaotic. The bifurcation diagrams depicted in Fig. 6 show that, for $\alpha = 0.25$ and $\alpha = 1.0$, the system, after regaining periodicity, decreases its vibration amplitude with an increase of the cutting force. This fact can be used in the design of the machine tools and control of the cutting processes. For $\alpha = 16$, the system responses are consistent with a traditional understanding of the MT-CP interactions, i.e. higher amplitudes are generated by larger cutting forces. Assuming the same mathematical model of the MT-CP system as in the previous section, the cutting forces $f_x$ and $f_y$
5. Nonlinear oscillations of Jeffcott rotor with snubber ring

In rotor systems non-smoothness may appear due to bearing clearances. This may result in piecewise stiffness characteristics, which can consequently lead to nonlinear behaviour including chaotic motion. The existence of this characteristic implies that there is intermittent contact between the components of the rotor system, which is critical to predict and control their complex behaviour.

Rotor systems with bearing clearances have been studied in the past, where the investigations concentrated primarily on the Jeffcott rotors. In particular, Choy and Padovan (1987), Muszynska and Goldman (1995), Childs (1998) and Chu and Zhang (1998) paid attention to rub interactions in rotating machinery. Ehrich (1992) investigated spontaneous sidebanding, while Ganesan (1996) looked at the stability analysis. Numerical investigation of the model of the Jeffcott rotor with a snubber ring by Karpenko et al. (2002b) has shown the existence of multiple attractors and fractal basins of attraction. Influence of the preloading and viscous damping of the snubber ring was investigated in Karpenko et al. (2003b) where it was shown how the preloading of the snubber ring could stabilize the dynamic responses.

5.1. Mathematical model

The rotor system (see Karpenko, 2003) is modelled as a two-degrees-of-freedom piecewise nonlinear planar oscillator, where the rotor makes intermittent contact with the preloaded snubber ring. The physical model and the geometrical description of the model are given in Fig. 6. The excitation of the rotor is provided by an out-of-balance rotating mass producing the loading force \( f_0 = m \omega^2 \) Here \( O_r \) and \( O_s \) denote the current positions of the rotor and the snubber ring respectively. Fig. 6(a) presents the situation when the initial and current positions of the snubber ring coincide. The eccentricity vector \( \epsilon = (\epsilon_x, \epsilon_y) \) determines the initial position of the rotor \( O_{r,0} \) relative to the initial position of the outer ring. The radius vectors \( R_r \) and \( R_s \) show the current positions of the rotor and the snubber ring. \( D \) is the distance between the centres of the rotor and the snubber ring. \( R \) is the radial displacement of the rotor. For the “no contact” situation the distance between the centres of the rotor and the snubber ring is equal to the radial displacement of the rotor \( D = R \).

![Figure 6](image-url) (a) Physical model of the Jeffcott rotor with bearing clearances, (b) adopted co-ordinate system

When rotor moves inside the stator without any interaction with the ring the equations of motion for the rotor and the snubber ring are as follows

\[
\begin{align*}
  x_r'' + 2\xi_1 x_r' + x_r - \epsilon_x &= f_0 \cos(\phi_0 + \eta \tau), \\
  y_r'' + 2\xi_1 y_r' + y_r - \epsilon_y &= f_0 \sin(\phi_0 + \eta \tau), \\
  2\xi_2 x_r' + \kappa x_r &= 0, \quad 2\xi_2 y_r' + \kappa y_r &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]

When the rotor is in contact with the snubber ring there are four unique regimes (see Pavlovskaya et al., 2004), for which the stiffness of the snubber ring for \( x \) and \( y \) directions differs. Equations of motion can be written as

\[
\begin{align*}
  x_r'' + 2\xi_1 x_r' + x_r - \epsilon_x + f_{x, r} &= f_0 \cos(\phi_0 + \eta \tau), \\
  y_r'' + 2\xi_1 y_r' + y_r - \epsilon_y + f_{y, r} &= f_0 \sin(\phi_0 + \eta \tau), \\
  x_s &= x_s(x_s, y_s), \quad y_s = y_s(x_s, y_s).
\end{align*}
\]
In equations (8) and (9), $\xi_1$ and $\xi_2$ denote the viscous damping ratios of the rotor and the snubber ring, where $f_{sx}$ and $f_{sy}$ are the restoring forces in the snubber ring in $x$ and $y$ direction respectively. The constraints between the rotor and the snubber ring co-ordinates were developed in (Pavlovskaiia, 2004). Equations of motion (8) and (9) have been derived using the following series of assumptions. Firstly dry friction between the ring and rotor has been neglected. Secondly it was assumed that the snubber ring itself is massless, because it is manufactured from aluminum and highly preloaded by compression springs. Thirdly gyroscopic forces are not taken into consideration since no angular motion occurs.

5.2. Experimental Verification

In this section sample of extensive experimental studies in Karpenko (2003) conducted to verify the mathematical model of Jeffcott rotor system with a snubber ring developed at the University of Aberdeen is presented.

For the bifurcation diagram presented in Figure 7 a comparison of the theoretical (Figure 7a) and experimental (Figure 7b) responses shows a number of similarities. In both figures for the low magnitude of the forcing frequency period one motion is observed for $f \in (7,13.6)\text{Hz}$ and at $f \in (16.2, 17.7)\text{Hz}$ followed by chaotic regimes for $f \in (13.6, 16.2)\text{Hz}$ and $f \in (17.7, 22.8)\text{Hz}$ respectively. In the theoretical and experimental diagrams the width of both periodic and chaotic regimes are the same. After the flip bifurcation at $f \approx 22.8\text{Hz}$ the theoretical response becomes periodic up to the end of the diagram. In the experimental bifurcation diagram in Figure 7b for $f \in (25.6, 32)\text{Hz}$ some kind of quasi-periodic regime was obtained. In both diagrams two cross-sections were examined in the form of Poincaré maps. It is also seen that the theoretical and experimental attractors are similar in shape. However, despite of the shape similarity, there are some differences in the amplitudes of displacements and velocities.

![Figure 7. Bifurcation diagrams for the forcing frequency; (a) theoretical, (b) experimental](image)

6. Conclusions

This paper introduces a practically important concept of non-smoothness where a dynamical system can be considered as smooth in a finite size subspace of global hyperspace. Global solution is generated by matching local solutions obtained by standard methods. If the dynamical system is linear in all subspaces then only an implicit global analytical solution can be given as the times when non-smoothness occurs have to be determined first. This leads to the necessity of solving a set of nonlinear algebraic equations. To illustrate the non-smooth dynamical systems and the methodology of solving them, three mechanical engineering problems have been studied.
Firstly the vibro-impact system in a form of moling device was modelled and analysed in order to understand how the progression rates can be maximised. Periodic trajectories can be reconstructed as they go through three linear subspaces (no contact, contact with progression and contact with progression). It was shown that the considered model reflects well the dynamics of the vibro-impact system and also the soil resistance curves. A typical nonlinear dynamic analysis has revealed complex behaviour ranging from periodic to chaotic motion. Bifurcation diagrams were constructed using variation of the mass velocity as the displacement has a drift. It was found out that the maximum progression is achieved when system responds periodically with the period of external excitation.

In the second application frictional chatter occurring during orthogonal metal cutting has been examined via numerical simulation method. The physical models consider a dry friction force acting on the cutting edge. The system demonstrates complex dynamic behaviour, which is manifested by the existence of periodic, quasi-periodic, subharmonic and chaotic motion. It was found that some of the bifurcation diagrams couldn’t be classified into standard routes to chaos, however; crisis type transition to chaos is dominating. The analysis has shown that the bifurcation analysis can be very useful to make an appropriate choice of the system parameters to avoid chatter.

The last problem comes from rotordynamics, where nonlinear interactions between the rotor and the snubber ring are studied. The mathematical model neglects the frictional and gyroscopic forces, and concentrates on the dynamic responses caused by interactions between a whirling rotor and a massless snubber ring, which has much higher stiffness than the rotor. The mathematical model has been solved using both the approximate analytical method and numerical by a direct integration of the equations of motion. By employing various techniques such as construction of bifurcation diagrams and Poincaré maps, comparisons are made between the results obtained from the experiment and the theory. The results obtained showed that a wide variety of motion was exhibited by this system ranging from periodic to chaotic. A good correlation between experimental and theoretical results has been obtained. It was shown that the experiment has confirmed the basic bifurcation scenarios predicted theoretically.
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