PYROLYSIS OF ETHANE: EQUILIBRIUM AND KINETIC MODELS AND THE REDUCTION OF REACTION MECHANISM

A. P. Spilimbergo, patspi@unijui.edu.br

UNIJUI - Northwest University of the State of Rio Grande do Sul, Department of Physics, Statistics and Mathematica, PoB. 560, Zip Code: 98.700-000, Ijuí, RS, Brazil

Viktor G. Krioukov, vkrujkov@kai.ru

Airat L. Abdulline, ala2000@mail.ru

UETK - Kazan State University, Department of Engines of Automobiles, Mail Box 420111, K. Marx Street 10, Kazan, Russia

Abstract Is considered the reaction mechanism of the ethane pyrolysis, utilized in petrochemical industry to obtain ethylene (basic species for production of polyethylene). "Complete" reaction mechanism includes 63 reactions. The zone of the parameter alterations corresponds to conditions of industrial pyrolysis: T = 900....1200K, P = 2...8 atm, C_2H_6 / $H_2O = 1...2$. Using the scheme of perfect stirred reactor, were carried out numerical simulations on the chemically equilibrium and kinetic models. The results, obtained by these models are different. In the equilibrium approach ethane is decomposed almost completely, and methane is basic pyrolysis product, while in the kinetic approach ethane is decomposed partially, and the basic pyrolysis products are: C_2H_4 and H_2 . The engagement method, developed in the previous works, is applied for reduction of "complete" mechanism. The reduced mechanism includes 18 reactions and with the satisfactory accuracy allows computing the composition of the pyrolysis products.

Keywords: ethane pyrolysis, reaction mechanism, reduction of mechanism, chemical equilibrium and chemical kinetics

1. INTRODUCTION

In the petrochemical industry for obtaining ethylene (C_2H_4 – basic component for production of polyethylene) the ethane pyrolysis is widely used (Albright *et al.*, 1983, Buffenour *et al.*, 2004). This process arises in the tube furnaces at: temperature T = 900...1200K, pressure P = 2...10 atm, with addition to the reagent flow of significant quantity of water vapor ($r_{H2O} = 0.5...0,65$). It is known that the ethane transformation in the pyrolysis products (C_2H_4 , H_2 , CH_4 , etc.) occurs with absorption of significant quantity of heat. For example, the reaction:

$$C_2 H_6 = C_2 H_4 + H_2 - Q \tag{1}$$

is essentially endothermic (Albright *et al.*, 1983) with Q = 4567 kJ/Kg. Therefore the pipes, into which reagents and the pyrolysis products flow, are necessary to heat (usually this occurs by the radiation heat transfer). The ethylene fraction at output $(r_{C_2H_4}^f)$ depends on temperature, pressure, vapor concentration and the pipe length (residence time). Varying these parameters, it is possible to reach the maximum of ethylene yield. For the actual industrial plants is typical the composition of the pyrolysis products, given in Table 1.

Table 1. Typical composition of the pyrolysis products (without water vapor), obtained in the actual plants for production of ethylene.

Species	H_2	CH_4	C_2H_4	C_2H_6	C_2H_2	C_3H_6
$r_i(\%)$	3,51	4,30	48,00	39,36	0,30	1,33

Numerous works (Buffenour *et al.*, 2004; Edwin and Balchen, 2001; Prior and Lopes, 2000; Stell, 2003, Marinov *et al.*, 1998; Jones and Lindstedt, 1988; etc) describe different aspects of the ethane pyrolysis. Two aspects, which relate to this problem, are considered in present work:

- deviation of composition of the pyrolysis products from the chemical equilibrium;

- reduction of chemical reactions.

It is known, that for simulation of the ethane pyrolysis for industrial plants is used the approach of the ideal displacement reactor (Kriukov *et al.*, 1997). But for solution of indicated problems it is possible to use also the perfect stirred reactor, that is more convenient for research (Spilimbergo *et al.*, 2007).

2. EQUATION OF CHEMICAL EQUILIBRIUM AND OF CHEMICAL KINETICS

We will consider that the pyrolysis process arises in reactor, showed in Fig. 1. Gaseous reagent (C_2H_6) and water vapor enter into reactor and instantly are mixed with the pyrolysis products (with P = const and equality of flows $m^+ = m^-$). In state of chemical equilibrium the rates of forward and back reaction are equal $W_j^+ = W_j^-$, and they approach to infinity with the condition:

$$\frac{k_j^+}{k_j^-} = K_j^c \tag{2}$$

where K_j^c – equilibrium constant, k_j^+ , k_j^- the rate constant *j*-th reaction.

For the equilibrium conditions the reactor temperature (T_o) is prescribed and is ensured by heat transfers regard, to the reagent mass unit $(Q_{eq}[J/kg])$. This value is determined from the formulae:

$$Q_{eq} = \sum_{i} h_i (T_o) g_i^{eq} - \left[h_{C_2 H_6} (T_{en}) g_{C_2 H_6} + h_{H_2 O} (T_{en}) g_{H_2 O} \right]$$
(3)

where $h_i(T_o)$, g_i^{eq} – specific mass enthalpy and mass fraction of *i*-th pyrolysis product species; T_{en} , g_{H2O} , g_{C2H6} , h_{H2O} , h_{C2H6} – temperature, mass fractions and the enthalpies of the input flow species.



Figure1. Scheme of the perfect stirred reactor.

The calculation of chemical equilibrium was accomplished, using the known model (Alemassov *et al.*, 1971), which includes the following equations: a) dissociation of molecules:

$$\ln P_{j} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{ij} \cdot \ln P_{i} + \ln K_{j} = 0 \qquad j = 1,...,m$$
(4)

where

$$lnK_{j} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{ij} \cdot S_{i}^{o} - S_{j}^{o}}{R_{o}} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{ij} \cdot H_{i} - H_{j}}{R_{o}T}$$
(5)

b) conservation of atoms:

$$ln\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{ij} \cdot P_{j} + P_{i}\right) - ln M_{p} - ln b_{ip} = 0 \qquad i = 1,...,n$$
(6)

c) Dalton:

$$ln\left(\sum_{q=1}^{m+n} P_q\right) - ln P = 0 \tag{7}$$

where: P_i , P_j , P_q – the partial pressures of atoms (*i*), of molecules (*j*) and any (q = i + j) species; a_{ij} – the number of *i*-th atoms in *j*-th molecule; K_j – the dissociation constant *j*-th molecule (this constant is function of enthalpy (H_j) and of entropy (S_j^o)); M_p – incognita constant; R_o – universal gas constant; b_{ip} – the number of atoms in conditional formula of reagent species.

In this work the calculation of non – equilibrium processes is accomplish by model of perfect stirred reactor with P, m = const (m is the flow in reactor), which is described by following equations: 1) The chemical kinetics in the exponential form (Kriukov *et al.*, 1997):

$$\frac{d\gamma_i}{d\tau} = -e^{\gamma} \left(\sum_j v_{ij} \Omega_j + \frac{r_i^+ \mu_{\Sigma}}{\mu^+ \tau_p} \right) + \sum_q \sum_j v_{qj} \Omega_j + \sum_q \frac{r_q^+ \mu_{\Sigma}}{\mu^+ \tau_p} \qquad i, p, q = 1, \dots, n_c; \quad j = 1, \dots, 2 m_c; \quad (8)$$

where: $\Omega_{j} = k_{j} \left(\frac{P}{R_{0}T} \right)^{\overline{m}_{j}} exp \left(-\sum_{p} n_{pj} \gamma_{p} \right); \quad \overline{m}_{j} = m_{j} + \sum n_{pj} - l; \quad \gamma_{i} = -\ln r_{i};$ $v_{ij} = v_{is}'' - v_{is}'; \quad n_{ij} = v_{is}'; \quad j = s; \quad s = 1, ..., m_{c};$ $v_{ij} = v_{is}' - v_{is}''; \quad n_{ij} = v_{is}''; \quad j = s + m_{c}; \quad s = 1, ..., m_{c};$

 v'_{is} , v''_{is} – stoichiometric coefficients in set of reversible reactions:

$$\sum_{i} v'_{is} B_{i} \Leftrightarrow \sum_{i} v''_{is} B_{i}$$
(9)

 B_i – symbol of *i-th* species; m_j – index of participation of third body M ($m_j = 1$ if particle M participates in *j-th* reaction, otherwise $m_j = 0$); k_j – rate constant of *j-th* reaction; r_i and r_i^+ – molar fractions of *i-th* species in reactor and in input flow (the flow of reagents); $n_c \in m_c$ – numbers of species and of reactions in the reacting medium; τ_p – residence time; μ_{Σ} – average molecular mass in reactor; μ_i – molecular mass of *i-th* specie; μ^+ – average molecular mass of the reagents.

2) The energy equation, in integral form:

$$h_{C_2H_6}g_{C_2H_6} + h_{H_2O}g_{H_2O} + Q_{eq} = \sum_i h_i(T)g_i \qquad i = 1, \dots, n_c$$
(10)

where: Q_{eq} it is determined from formulae (2).

The integration of equations (8) – (10) is carry out until the steady-state values of temperature (T_f) and composition (r_f - molar fractions), which differ from the appropriate equilibrium values (T_o , r_i^{eq}) and approach to them with $\tau_p \rightarrow \infty$.

3. FORMING OF REACTION MECHANISM. COMPARISON OF KINETIC AND EQUILIBRIUM COMPOSITIONS

The reaction mechanism, necessary to accomplish the kinetic calculations (C-mechanism), was formed from databases (Marinov *et al.*, 1998; LEED's, 2004; Glarborg *et al.*, 1986) and includes the species: H, H_2 , H_2O , CH, CH_2 , CH_3 , CH_4 , C_2H , C_2H_2 , C_2H_3 , C_2H_4 , C_2H_5 , C_2H_6 , C_3H_2 , C_3H_4 , C_3H_5 , C_3H_6 , C_3H_7 , C_3H_8 and selected reactions, given in Tab. 2. It is observed, that these mechanisms (and also the mechanism of Konnov (2007)) include both the pyrolysis reactions and the oxidation (combustion) reactions, and only the pyrolysis reactions were selected in C-mechanism.

The thermodynamic properties of species $H_i = f_i(T)$ $S_i^o = f_i(T)$ were taken from the databases (Marinov *et al.*, 1998 and LEED's, 2004). Reactions of variable order in the general case written, for example, in the form:

$$CH_3 + CH_3 + (M) = C_2H_6 + (M)$$
(11)

(12)

were used in version for high pressure in the Lindemann form (Kee *et al.*, 2000). Chemically equilibrium and kinetic calculations were carried out by code RISTV (Spilimbergo *et al.*, 2007).

Ν	Reaction	Ν	Reaction	Ν	Reaction
1R	H+H+M=H2+M	22R	C2H5+H=C1H3+C1H3	43R	C2H2+M=C2H1+H+M
2R	СН3+М=СН+Н2+М	23R	СН3+СН3=С2Н4+Н2	44R	C2H+H2=C2H2+H
3R	СН2+Н=СН+Н2	24R	СН3+СН2=С2Н4+Н	45R	С3Н8=С2Н5+СН3
4R	СН+С2Н2=С3Н2+Н	25R	C2H6+H=C2H5+H2	46R	C3H8+H=C3H7+H2
5R	СН4+М=СН3+Н+М	26R	C2H5+H=C2H4+H2	47R	С3Н8+СН3=С3Н7+СН4
6R	СН4+Н=СН3+Н2	27R	C2H5+H=C2H6	48R	C3H8+C2H3=C3H7+C2H4
7R	СН4+СН2=СН3+СН3	28R	C2H4+CH3=C2H3+CH4	49R	C3H8+C2H5=C3H7+C2H6
8R	СН3+М=СН2+Н+М	29R	C2H6+CH3=C2H5+CH4	50R	C3H8+C3H5=C3H6+C3H7
9R	СН3+Н=СН2+Н2	30R	C2H6+CH2=CH3+C2H5	51R	С3Н7=С2Н4+СН3
10R	СН2+Н=СН+Н2	31R	C2H5+M=C2H4+H+M	52R	C3H6+H=C3H7
11R	СН2+СН=С2Н2+Н	32R	C2H4+M=C2H2+H2+M	53R	C3H7+H=C2H5+CH3
12R	CH2+CH2=C2H2+H2	33R	C2H4+M=C2H3+H+M	54R	C3H6=C2H2+CH4
13R	СН+Н=С+Н2	34R	C2H4+H=C2H3+H2	55R	С3Н6=С3Н4+Н2
14R	СН+СН3=С2Н3+Н	35R	C2H3+M=C2H2+H+M	56R	C3H5+H=C3H6
15R	СН+СН4=С2Н4+Н	36R	C2H3+H=C2H2+H2	57R	C3H6+H=C2H4+CH3
16R	СН+С2Н4=СН2+С2Н3	37R	C2H3+CH2=C2H2+CH3	58R	C3H6+H=C3H5+H2
17R	СН+С2Н6=С2Н4+СН3	38R	C2H3+C2H=C2H2+C2H2	59R	С3H6+CH3=C3H5+CH4
18R	С+СН4=СН+СН3	39R	C2H3+CH=CH2+C2H2	60R	C3H5+H=C3H4+H2
19R	С+СН3=С2Н2+Н	40R	С2Н3+СН3=С3Н5+Н	61R	C3H5+CH3=C3H4+CH4
20R	С+СН2=С2Н+Н	41R	C2H3+CH3=C2H2+CH4	62R	C3H4+H=CH3+C2H2
21R	СН3+СН3=С2Н6	42R	C2H2+CH3=C2H+CH4	63R	C3H4+H=C3H5

Table 2. Reaction mechanism of the ethane pyrolysis (C-mechanism).

Comparative calculations were performed in the parametric domain, corresponding of industrial pyrolysis, namely:

Figure 2. Equilibrium values Q_{eq} and $r_{CH_4}^{eq}$ depending on temperature T_o ($r_{H2O} = 0,625$; - 2 atm; - - 6 atm).

For example, the interval of values τ_p (necessary for fulfilling the kinetic calculations) corresponds to the residence time of ethane into the furnace pipes. The initial reagent temperature had value $T_{en} = 298$ K. In course of equilibrium calculations were determined the values $Q_{eq} = f(T_o, P)$, showed on Fig. 2. Is evident, that with increase T_o a quantity of required heat increases and it is expended on reagent heating (from 298K to T_o) and on the transformation C_2H_6 in the pyrolysis products (C_2H_4 , H_2 , CH_4 and etc.). Main of them in the equilibrium conditions is methane (CH_4), and its concentration weakly depends on temperature. The small fraction of reagent is converted in C_2H_4 , H_2 , C_2H_2 . For example, for the conditions P = 2 atm, $r_{H2O} = 0,625$, with alteration of temperature in interval T = 900...1200K, the molar fractions $r_{C_2H_2}^{eq}$ and $r_{H_2}^{eq}$ increase from 1% to 5% (Fig. 3). The composition of the pyrolysis products weakly depends on pressure. For the selected domain of parameters were carried out also the calculations by kinetic model.

depends on pressure. For the selected domain of parameters were carried out also the calculations by kinetic model. Some obtained results and their comparison with chemically equilibrium data depending on T_o , (this actually corresponds depending on Q_{eq}) are shown in Figs. 4 - 7.



Figure 3. Equilibrium values $r_{C_2H_2}^{eq}$ an $r_{C_2H_6}^{eq}$ depending on temperature $T_o (r_{H2O} = 0.625; -2 \text{ atm}; --6 \text{ atm})$.



Figure 4. Alteration of molar fractions $r_{C_2H_6}^f$ and $r_{C_2H_6}^{eq}$ in depending on T_o ($r_{H2O} = 0,625$). $\blacktriangle - P = 2 \text{ atm}, \tau_p = 0,1 \text{ sec}; \blacksquare - P = 2 \text{ atm}, \tau_p = 1 \text{ sec}; ---- P = 6 \text{ atm}, \tau_p = 1 \text{ sec}$

Analyzing these results it is necessary to note the following moments:

- temperature influences considerably and pressure influences weakly in composition of the pyrolysis products;

- with increase of residence time from $\tau_p = 0,1$ sec to $\tau_p = 1$ sec the pyrolysis depth increases and therefore T_f (0,1sec)> T_f (1sec);

- in comparison with the equilibrium calculations the kinetic calculations show considerably higher concentration C_2H_6 , i.e $r_{C_2H_6}^f >> r_{C_2H_6}^{eq}$, but with increase of temperature value $r_{C_2H_6}^f$ falls, because the pyrolysis reactions are accelerated;



Figure 5. Alteration of molar fractions $r_{C_2H_4}^f$ and $r_{C_2H_4}^{eq}$ in depending on T_o ($r_{H2O} = 0,625$).



Figure 6. Alteration of molar fractions $r_{H_2}^f$ and $r_{H_2}^{eq}$ in depending on T_o ($r_{H2O} = 0,625$). $\blacktriangle - P = 2$ atm, $\tau_p = 0,1$ sec; $\blacksquare - P = 2$ atm, $\tau_p = 1$ sec; - - - P = 6 atm, $\tau_p = 1$ sec





- in the selected temperature interval, according to kinetic model the methane practically is not formed ($r_{CH_4}^f < 1\%$) in contrast to the equilibrium data;

- in the equilibrium model the fraction C_2H_4 weakly depending on T_o ($r_{C_2H_4}^{eq} = 8...9\%$), but according to kinetic model the concentration C_2H_4 considerably increases from 3% (when $T_o = 900$ K) to 18% (when $T_o = 1200$ K);

- with increases T_o according to kinetic model concentration H₂ considerably increases from 2% (when $T_o = 900$ K) to 18% (when $T_o = 1200$ K), essentially exceeding the appropriate equilibrium values;

- with the low values T_o (small Q_{eq}) the temperature $T_f > T_o$ (Fig 7), that is caused by insignificant formation C_2H_4 by comparison with equilibrium data. But with high T_o , concentration C_2H_4 becomes above equilibrium data $(r_{C_2H_4}^f > r_{C_2H_4}^{eq})$, that leads to the significant energy expenditures for the ethane pyrolysis and then T_f becomes less than T_o .

4. REDUCTION OF THE PYROLYSIS REACTION MECHANISM

The reduction of complete mechanism of reactions (C-mechanism) was carried out by the engagement method, given in the work (Spilimbergo et al., 2007). According to this method the calculations of processes in the reactor begin from chemical equilibrium state (with temperature T_o) until establishment of same stationary state (temperature T_f),

with chemical non-equilibrium composition. In result of the reaction rate analysis of the complete mechanism (C-mechanism) in the stationary state, the engagement method forms a reduced mechanism (S-mechanism) only with the notable reactions. In method is used the reduction indicator, which is established by the user and is located in interval $\zeta = 0,...,1$. If the value of $\zeta = 1$, the reduced mechanism is only constituted by reactions with maximum rates. If the value of $\zeta = 0$, the reduced -mechanism is equal to the C-mechanism.

If the reduction is realized for:

- a point in the space $\{r_{H2O}, P, T_o, \tau_p = \text{const.}\}$, then the reduced mechanism is called LS-mechanism;

- a line { r_{H2O} , P, τ_p = const., T_o = var.}, then the reduced mechanism is called ST-mechanism;

- a limited zone { r_{H2O} , P, T_o , τ_p = var.}, then the reduced mechanism is called GS-mechanism.

In all calculation variants the initial data are: the reduction indicator ζ , the reagent symbols, the symbols of main combustion products and species interesting for the user.

The additional initial data in LS-mechanism are: r_{H2O} , P, T_o and τ_p . Thus, initially, the LS-mechanism is constituted only by same species (without reactions) and it is formed step by the step using the following algorithm: <u>P1</u>. For each *i-th* species that is already included in the LS-mechanism, it is considered all reactions with this

species.

P2. The maximums for these reactions are obtained:

$$\Omega_{im} = max \left[abs(v_{ij} \Omega_j) \right] \qquad j = 1, ..., 2m_c;$$
(13)

$$\Omega_{id} = max[abs(v_{is}(\Omega_s - \Omega_{s+mc}))] \qquad s = 1,...,m_c;$$
(14)

where Ω_{im} is the maximum value by module among the terms $v_{ij}\Omega_j$ of the forward and back directions of reactions with *i*-th species; Ω_{id} is the maximum value by module of differences between the forward and back directions of these reactions.

P3. Among the reactions with *i-th* species are selected those that satisfy one of relations:

$$abs(v_{ij}\Omega_j) \ge \zeta \Omega_{im}$$
 $j = 1,...,2m_c;$ (15)

$$abs(v_{is}(\Omega_s - \Omega_{s+mc})) \ge \zeta \Omega_{id} \qquad s = 1, \dots, m_c.$$
(16)

<u>P4</u>. Each reaction selected by relations (15) and (16) is included in LS-mechanism, if it was not included previously.

<u>P5</u>. Each species of each reaction selected by step P3 is also included in LS-mechanism, if was not included previously. So a species (initially included) "engages" others reactions and species.

To form a ST-mechanism, the additional initial data are: r_{H2O} , P, τ_p and the temperature interval $[T_o(\max), ..., T_o(\min)]$. This interval is divided by n_T parts, with width: $\Delta T = (T_o(\max), ..., T_o(\min))/n_T$ For each point r_{H2O} , P, τ_p , $T_o(\min) + k\Delta T$, where $(k = 1..., n_T)$, is formed the LS- mechanism. Then, to obtain the ST-mechanism, joining all LT-mechanisms.

To form a GS-mechanism, the additional initial data are: the intervals: of temperature $[T_o(\max), ..., T_o(\min)]$, of molar fractions $[r_{H2O}(\max), ..., r_{H2O}(\min)]$ and of pressure $[P(\max), ..., P(\min)]$. In this case is necessary to choose different values $r_{H2O,m}$ and P_j and to carry out the calculations (each time in interval $[T_o(\max), ..., T_o(\min)]$ generating the ST-mechanisms for each point $(r_{H2O,m}, P_j)$ and then, to obtain the GS(ζ)-mechanism, joining all ST-mechanisms.

In the present work reduction of C-mechanism is executed for domain of parameters T, P, r_{H2O} and τ_p , corresponded to working conditions in of industrial plants (12). As reagents were naturally considered: C_2H_6 and H_2O . As the basic pyrolysis products were selected CH_4 and C_2H_2 (from the equilibrium model) and C_2H_4 , H_2 (from the kinetic model). In course of precomputations was selected the reduction indicator of mechanism ($\zeta = 0.05$). In result of application of the engagement method was obtained GS- mechanism, which includes 18 reactions (Tab. 3) and substances: H, H_2 , H_2O , CH_3 , CH_4 , C_2H_2 , C_2H_3 , C_2H_4 , C_2H_5 , C_2H_6 , C_3H_4 , C_3H_5 , C_3H_6 and C_3H_7 .

Ν	Reaction	Ν	Reaction	Ν	Reaction
9R	C1H4+H=C1H3+H2	34R	C2H5+M=C2H4+H+M	59R	C3H5+H=C3H6
24R	C1H3+C1H3=C2H6	35R	C2H4+M=C2H2+H2+M	60R	C3H6+H=C2H4+C1H3
25R	C2H5+H=C1H3+C1H3	37R	C2H4+H=C2H3+H2	61R	C3H6+H=C3H5+H2
28R	C2H6+H=C2H5+H2	38R	C2H3+M=C2H2+H+M	63R	C3H5+H=C3H4+H2
31R	C2H4+C1H3=C2H3+C1H4	54R	C3H7=C2H4+C1H3	65R	C3H4+H=C1H3+C2H2
32R	C2H6+C1H3=C2H5+C1H4	55R	С3Н6+Н=С3Н7	66R	C3H4+H=C3H5

Table 3. Reduced reaction mechanism (GS - mechanism) of pyrolysis C_2H_6 ($\zeta = 0.05$).

Then in accordance with the estimation, given in (Spilimbergo *et al.*, 2007), the calculation volume with GSmechanism is decreased in $\left(\frac{63}{18}\right)\left(\frac{19}{14}\right)^3 = 8,8$ times in comparison with the C-mechanism. Results, obtained by these mechanisms, were comprises for all selected lines of domain (*T*, *P*, *r*_{H2O}, τ_p). Examples of comparison for the lines: *T*=900...1200K, *P* = 2atm, *r*_{H2O} = 0,625, τ_p = 1sec and *T* = 900...1200K, *P* = 6atm, *r*_{H2O} = 0, 5; τ_p = 1sec are presented in Figs.8 and 9. Is evident, that values *T_f*, $r_{C_2H_4}^f$ and $r_{C_2H_6}^f$, obtained by the complete and reduced mechanisms are close. For concentrations of other species analogous results were obtained. Thus, the formed reduced mechanism can be used to predict the characteristics of the ethane pyrolysis process.



Figure 8. Comparison of values T_f , $r_{C_2H_4}^f$ and $r_{C_2H_6}^f$ obtained by the complete and reduced mechanisms with P = 2atm, $r_{H2O} = 0,625; \ \tau_p = 1$ sec (--- C-mechanism; --- GS-mechanism).



Figure 9 Comparison of values T_{f_1} , $r_{C_2H_4}^f$ and $r_{C_2H_6}^f$ obtained by the complete and reduced mechanisms with P = 6atm, $r_{H2O} = 0.5$; $\tau_p = 1$ sec (— C-mechanism; - - - GS-mechanism)

5. CONCLUSION

Are considered the chemical aspects of the ethane pyrolysis, widely utilized in the petrochemical industry for the production of ethylene.

The numeric simulation of the pyrolysis processes with the use of the equilibrium and kinetic approaches was carried out for conditions of the perfect stirred reactor. In the investigated temperature interval the results, obtained on these approaches, significantly differ from each other. According to equilibrium model the pyrolysis products contain, mainly, methane, and also the small concentrations C_2H_4 , C_2H_2 and H_2 , which little alter in the interval T=900...1200K. But according to kinetic model by the main products of pyrolysis are C_2H_4 and H_2 , whose concentrations depend on temperature. Furthermore, at output from reactor in significant concentration can contain reagent (C_2H_6). Its decomposition determines the stationary temperature T_f , in reactor: for the small degrees of decomposition $T_f > T_o$, and for the significant degrees $T_{f_5} < T_o$.

The numeric simulations were accomplished for industrial conditions of the ethane pyrolysis T = 900...1200K; P=2...8 atm; $r_{H2O} = 0.5...0,65$; $\tau_p = 0.1...1$ sec with reduction of indicator $\zeta = 0.05$. The complete C-mechanism was

reduced: in relation of reactions in 3,5 times and in relation of species in 1,3 times.

It is necessary to note that the created model is intended for: comparison of compositions of the pyrolysis products, obtained by chemically equilibrium and kinetic approach and reduction of mechanism of the pyrolysis reactions; and this model is considerably simple, than complex model of the pyrolysis processes in industrial plants. Subsequently, it is planned to create and to examine this complex model by comparison with the experimental data.

6. REFERENCES

- Albright, L.F., Cruns, B.F. and Corcoran, W.H., 1983, "Pyrolysis: Theory and Industrial Practice", Ed. Academic press, New York, 516 p.
- Alemassov, V.E., Dregalin, A.F. and Tishin, A.P., 1971, "Thermodynamic and Thermophysical Properties of the Combustion Products", Survey, Vol. 1, Ed. VINITI, Moscow, 302 p.
- Buffenour, M.H., Aubry, J.M. and Hurstel, X., 2004, "Large Ethylene Plants Present Unique Design, Construction Challenges", Oil and Gas Journal, Vol. 102, No. 3, pp. 60–65.
- Edwin, E. and Balchen, J., 2001, "Dynamic Optimization and Production Planning of Thermal Cracking Operation", Chem. Eng. Science, Vol. 56, pp. 989-997.
- Glarborg, P., Miller J.A. and Kee R.J., 1986, "Kinetic Modeling and Sensitivity Analysis of Nitrogen Oxide Formation in Well-Stirred Reactors", Combustion and Flame, Vol. 65, pp. 177-202.
- Jones W.P. and Lindstedt R.P., 1988, "Global Reaction Schemes for Hydrocarbon Combustion", Combustion and Flame, Vol. 73, pp. 233-242.

Konnov A., 2007, "Alexander Konnov's combustion mechanisms", http:// hemepages.vub.ac.be/~akonnov/

- Kee R.J., Rupley F.M., Meeks, E. and Miller J.A., 2000, "CHEMKIN: A Software Package for the Analysis of Gas-Phase Chemical and Plasma Kinetics", Sandia National Laboratories Report SAND96-8216, CA.
- Krioukov, V.G., Naoumov V.I., Abdullin, A.L., Demin, A.V. and Trinos T.V., 1997, "Combustion and Flow in Propulsion and Power Generation Systems: Modeling, Energy, Ecology", Ed. Yanus, Moscow.
- LEED's Reaction Kinetics Database, 2004, School of Chemistry, University of Leeds.

Marinov, N.M., Pitz, W.J., Westbrook, C.K. and Melius, C.F., 1998, "Aromatic and Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbon Formation in a Laminar Premixed n- Butane Flame", Combustion and Flame, Vol. 114, pp. 192-213.

Prior, D. and Lopes, S., 2000, "Ethylene Plant Closed-loop optimization", Hydrocarbon Processing, No. 6, pp. 81-84.

Spilimbergo, A.P, Veloso, G.O., Krioukov, V.G. and Iskhakova, R.L., 2007, "Engagement Method: Application in Area of Alteration of the Parameters of the Reacting Medium", Proceedings of the XXVIII CILAMCE (Iberian Latin American Congress on Computational Methods in Engineering), Porto, Portugal.

Stell, J., 2003, "International Survey of Ethylene from Steam Crackers", Oil and Gas Journal, Vol. 101, No. 3, pp. 47-53.

7. RESPONSIBILITY NOTICE

The author(s) is (are) the only responsible for the printed material included in this paper.